United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,406	/664,406 09/19/2003		Torsten Leifert	964-031480	3193
28289	7590	05/19/2006		EXAMINER	
		FIRM, P.C.	SWENSON, BRIAN L		
700 KOPPE 436 SEVEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBUR			3618		
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,406	LEIFERT, TORSTEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian Swenson	3618		

	Brian Swenson	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, afi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7: Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s).	
10. [_] Ollot	CHRISTO	PHER PLEUS	
^ 0	SUPERUSORY	PATENT EXAMINER	
\wp $ $ $ $ $ $	TECHNOLOG	SY CENTER 3600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: "...electrical drive systems being decelerated supplies energy to operate at least one other electrical drive system rather than being sent to an engergy storage mechanism" raises new issues that would require further consideration and searching.